International Journal of Current Research in Multidisciplinary (IJCRM) *ISSN: 2456-0979*
Vol. 9, No. 09 (October'24), pp. 07-12

THE ROLE OF INTUITION IN PHYSICS PROBLEM-SOLVING: CAN IT BE TRAINED?

James Michael Arthur[1], Thomas Nipielim Tindan[2]
[1]*Department of Science, British International School Gambia*
[2]*Department of Science Education, C. K. Tedam University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Navrongo. Ghana*
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4208-1617

ABSTRACT: *This paper studies the function of intuition in physics problem-solving and check whether it can be fostered through educational training. Intuition is seen as the hallmark of expertisepermitting individuals to solve complicated issues by seeing patterns and applying heuristics without the need for intentional and step-by-step thinking. Several related literatures are reviewed to support views of this paper. Focusing on cognitive science ideas such as the dual-process model, this paper distinguishes between intuitive (System 1) and analytical (System 2) thinking and explores how specialists exploit intuitive insights earned through experience. Empirical investigations such as expert-novice comparisons and research on physics education interventions provide evidence that intuition may be cultivated through targeted instruction. Conceptual learning, active learning techniques, and the utilization of simulations and problem-based learning are highlighted as methods to build intuitive problem-solving skills. After careful analysis, it is concluded that traditional physics education which emphasizes procedural learningshould change to embrace these evidence-based techniques to cultivate intuition in students. This move would bridge the gap between novices and experts, helping learners to approach physics challenges with both analytical rigor and intuitive understanding. It is recommended that integrating intuitive training into the curriculum can generate learners capable of more flexible, and efficient problem-solving in physics.*
Keywords: *Intuition, Physics problem – solving, simulations, Metacognitive, Cognitive Science, Heuristics.*

INTRODUCTION

Intuition is essential in physics problem-solving, enabling individuals to quickly evaluate complex concepts when analytical reasoning may be inadequate. It is characterized as an instinctive understanding derived from experience and cognitive pattern recognition and serves as a cognitive shortcut that allows physicists to swiftly formulate hypotheses and eliminate improbable solutions (Kahneman, 2011). Experienced physicists commonly utilize intuitive heuristics, whereas inexperience learners typically employ slower and more systematic approaches rendering them less adept at effectively addressing complicated problems. This disparity between expert intuition and rookie analytical thinking highlights a substantial difference in the approach to physics problems across various levels of competence.This paper examines whether intuitioncan be intentionally developed through specific educational methods. Conventional perspectives have historically seen intuition as a consequence of much skill and experience. Research indicates that intuition can be cultivated and enhanced through targeted educational interventions. The cognitive mechanisms behind intuition and its connection to analytical reasoning are also investigated in this study, aiming to elucidate how students might cultivate intuitive problem-solving abilities through intentional practice, experiential learning, and systematic instructional strategies.Analytical reasoning, extensively imparted in physics education entails methodical problem-solving utilizing mathematics and formal logic (Evans, 2010). This strategy is valuable but it can be slow and may not always be adequate for handling very complicated or abstract circumstances. On the other hand, intuition helps physicists to make immediate, experience-based judgments about physical processesfrequently without needing to elaborate computations. Sadler &Sonnert(2016), skilled physicists rely on intuitive thinking to make initial appraisals of situations using heuristics and pattern recognition to influence their conclusions. This contrast between experts and beginners underlines the role of intuition in advanced problem-solving.The development of intuition is profoundly related to experience and the ability to perceive patterns, both of which are crucial in physics. Experienced physicists construct "schemata"mental frameworks that allow them evaluate and predict physical events without needing to engage in formal reasoning at every step (Bransford et al., 2000). These mental models are honed by practiceenabling professionals to solve issues intuitively. Contrary to the idea that intuition is an intrinsic capacity,Ericsson et al. (2006),demonstrated that it is a learned skilldeveloped via repeated exposure to issues and practice.Given that intuition derives from experience and pattern recognition, a fundamental question arises: can intuition be trained? Emerging research suggests that instructional strategies such as inquiry-based learning and conceptual problem-solving can encourage the development of intuitive thinking (Redish, 2013). Wieman & Perkins (2005), technology-driven tools like simulations and visualizations also provide students with opportunities to connect with dynamic physical systems, helping them build intuitive skills by delivering real-time feedback.

COGNITIVE SCIENCE AND INTUITION IN PHYSICS PROBLEM-SOLVING

Cognitive science gives essential insights into how intuition functions in problem-solving particularly in complex fields like physics. Intuition is defined as the brain's ability to make quick decisions based on prior experiences and subconscious processing. Analytical thinking involves conscious and step-by-step processes. Kahneman (2011), intuition functions fast and intuitively by drawing on stored knowledge and cognitive shortcuts known as heuristics. This section analyzes the cognitive mechanisms behind intuition and examines the hypotheses that explain how it operates during problem-solving.A key hypothesis in cognitive science that explains intuition is the dual-process theory, which separates cognition into two systems: System 1 and System 2 (Evans, 2010). System 1 is fast, instinctive, and intuitive, acting subconsciously by leveraging patterns, associations, and heuristics to develop quick conclusions. On the other hand, System 2 is slower, deliberative, and analytical, requiring intentional effort and logical reasoning. In physics problem-solving, System 1 becomes visible when specialists immediately appraise an issue based on past experience without engaging in thorough reasoning. Kahneman (2011), this type of intuitive thinking occurs when individuals recognize common patterns allowing them to circumvent the requirement for rigorous inquiry.System 1 promotes speedy decision-making; however, it is prone to errors particularly in unfamiliar or counterintuitive circumstancessuch as quantum mechanics (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). System 2, on the other handbecomes active when the problem is unfamiliar or difficult, requiring conscious reasoning to obtain a solution. Gigerenzer & Gaissmaier, (2011), mental shortcuts that enable efficient problem-solvingare important to intuition in physics. These shortcuts evolve through repeated exposure to comparable problemsallowing individuals to construct mental models, or schemas, that guide their intuitive judgments.Physicists often apply dimensional analysis as an intuitive check to examine whether a solution "feels right" without the need for complex computations (Redish, 2013). Heuristics have a dual role; they assist experts to handle issues effectivelybut they can also generate cognitive biases when old patterns are erroneously applied to novel situations. Tversky and Kahneman (1974), identified numerous biaseswhere people depend on easily available information, and the representativeness heuristic, where individuals infer that an event closely matches its category. In physics, an example may be a student believing that all moving objects must eventually halt owing to friction, neglecting circumstances when friction is insignificant (Chi et al., 1981).Neuroscience offers better insights into how intuition occurs at the brain level. Brain imaging studies have demonstrated that different areas are active during intuitive and analytical reasoning. Intuitive thinking largely involves areas linked with pattern recognition and memory recall particularly the basal ganglia and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Damasio, 1994). These regions are critical for processing learnt associations and emotional responseshelping individuals make rapid, experience-based decisions. An important part of this process is the brain's capacity to recover pertinent information from memory fast. Chase and Simon (1973), specialists develop the ability to store huge volumes of domain-specific knowledge as chunks, or meaningful patterns of information. When faced with a difficulty the brain may access these pieces from long-term memory, enabling faster and more intuitive replies. This technique is particularly significant in physics, where specialists may immediately spot patterns in equations or physical systems that may be invisible to novices (Ericsson et al., 2006).

The theory of embodied cognition provides another perspective on intuitionclaiming that cognitive processes are influenced by the body's interactions with the environment (Wilson, 2002). In physics problem-solving, this hypothesis indicates that intuition is not just a mental process but also involves sensory and motor experiences. Physicist's intuitive grasp of forces and motion may arise from their embodied experiences of physical interactions, such as throwing a ball or pushing an object. Barsalou (2008), supports this ideaclaiming that most of our cognitive processing is anchored on sensory experiences. This means that students with hands-on experience in experiments are more likely to develop intuitive insights into physical principles than those who encounter these notions only in abstract form. Beilock& Holt (2007), this creates the option for developing intuition through embodied experiencespointing to the relevance of interactive and kinesthetic learning approaches in physics education.A significant subject in cognitive research is the extent to which intuition relies on unconscious processing. Damasio's (1994), somatic marker hypothesis claims that emotional and physiological responses, or somatic markers, play a substantial role in decision-making, influencing intuitive judgments. In the context of physics, this could emerge as a physicist acquiring an almost instinctual understanding of which approaches would succeed based on past experiences. The brain uses these emotional cues to evaluate possibilities and steer decisions without participating in conscious cognition. Klein, 1999), which demonstrates that professionals often have a "gut feeling" about the appropriateness of a solutioneven if they cannot instantly describe why. Conscious mind plays a relatively limited part in intuition.Damasio (1994), much of the brain's processing occurs outside our awarenessand it is in this unconscious processing that intuitive insights develop. This can be noticed when specialists instinctively incline toward a solution that "feels right," even before applying analytical tools to verify it. This shows the delicate balance between intuitive and analytical thinking in problem-solving.

2.1 IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING PHYSICS

The question on whether intuition in physics problem-solving can be trained bears substantial consequences for how physics is taught. Intuitiongenerally considered as a product of expert knowledge normally emerges over years of practice. However, if intuition can be cultivated through educational practices, physics education must shift towards incorporating ways that support intuitive thinking moving beyond procedural learning. This section concentrates on how educators might encourage intuition training through various methodologies and evidence-based methods.

***Emphasizing Conceptual Understanding Over Procedural Learning*:** Traditional physics education tends to emphasis on procedural problem-solving, where students are taught to follow step-by-step approaches to obtain a solution. This strategy can lead to right answers, yet it doesn't always support the development of intuitive knowledge. To train intuition the physics curriculum must promote conceptual comprehension rather than rote memorization or formulaic problem-solving.Hestenes (1987), proposes for moving physics training toward helping students create models of physical systems. Modeling training allows pupils to intuitively comprehend how systems work without depending entirely on formal formulae. This technique stresses essential ideas such as forces, energy, and motion, enabling students build intuitive answers to new situations, thus bridging the gap between academic understanding and intuitive problem-solving.

Active Learning: Enhancing Intuition Through Practice and Reflection: Active learning strategies have been useful in building intuition in physics students. One widely used technique is Peer Instructionby Eric Mazur (1997). In this strategy students are routinely provided with conceptual problems during lectures and asked to discuss them with peers before reaching a consensus. Peer Instruction has been empirically proved to boost students' conceptual grasp of physics (Crouch & Mazur, 2001), which is crucial for cultivating intuition.Through peer discussions, students polish their initial reactions by engaging with criticism and reflection. This strategy not only helps clarify errors in real-time but also matches students' intuitive knowledge with scientifically precise concepts.

Fostering Intuition Through Simulations and Technology: Technology plays a key role in cultivating intuition in physics education. Simulations and virtual labs give students with an interactive way to engage with complex physical systems allowing them to experiment with different factors and witness effects. Wieman and Perkins (2005), claim that simulations can assist students gain an intuitive knowledge of abstract ideassuch as electric fields and gravitational forcesby making them more physical and accessible.Simulations offer repeated exposure to numerous problem scenarios, enabling students to form schemas and discover patterns. Through this processstudents internalize physics principles and develop the capacity to predict outcomes in unknown situations. Additionally, these technologies allow students to test their intuitive beliefs in a low-risk environment, where they can obtain fast feedback.

***Scaffolded Problem-Solving: Gradual Exposure to Complexity*:** Scaffolding in instruction entails progressively introducing pupils to more complicated situations that challenge their current understanding, while providing advice when appropriate. This technique corresponds with Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which implies that pupils gain higher-order thinking skills when they face tasks somewhat beyond their existing ability, with some guidance.In reality, scaffolding allows students to depend on intuition for simpler concepts and progressively integrate more sophisticated, formal knowledge.Sweller et al. (2011), on cognitive load theory supports this strategy, as decreasing needless complexity in problem-solving helps students focus on detecting patterns and applying intuitive judgments. By steadily raising the difficulty of situations, students are taught to rely on their intuitive skills, simplifying and attacking challenges more strategically.

Inquiry-Based Learning: Encouraging Intuitive Thinking Through Exploration: Inquiry-based learning, which enables students to explore problems autonomously, form hypotheses, and evaluate their ideas via experiments, has demonstrated remarkable potential for cultivating intuition. This strategy replicates the scientific processallowing students to build intuitive problem-solving skills as they manage uncertainties. It enhances both critical thinking and intuitive decision-making when facing novel problems.Etkina and Van Heuvelen (2007), inquiry-based physics courses have led to greater conceptual understanding and higher long-term retention of knowledge, establishing the framework for intuitive thinking. Allowing students to actively engage with physics concepts, inquiry-based learning will encourage the development of both analytical and intuitive problem-solving abilities.

Problem-Based Learning (PBL): Problem-Based Learning is an educational technique where students work on complicated, real-world problems without a single apparent solution. Students depend on both analytical reasoning and intuition to explore alternative pathways and arrive at viable solutions in PBL. Though more frequent in medical education, PBL is gaining favor in physics education for its usefulness in building intuitive problem-solving skills.By solving open-ended questions, students are encouraged to employ their intuition with logical thinking, eventually establishing "problem schemas"(Hmelo-Silver, 2004). PBL helps students refine their capacity to handle complicated challenges intuitively, a skill that matches expert-level problem-solving in physics over time.

Cognitive Science and Educational Interventions: Building Intuition Through Deliberate Practice: Cognitive science research underlines the relevance of focused practice in developing intuition. Ericsson et al. (1993), expertiseby extension, intuitioncan be cultivated through persistent and concentrated practice where learners receive instant feedback and steadily improve. Physics students who deal with more complex problems acquire mental schemas that allow them to form intuitive responses to new, comparable challenges.Sweller et al. (2011), indicates that limiting superfluous cognitive load enables learners to focus on pattern identification and deeper insights, fostering intuition. When students are overloaded by excessive details, they struggle to grasp the overarching principles that lead to intuitive problem-solving. By scaffolding problems and simplifying complexity when appropriate, educators can help students establish the cognitive frameworks needed for intuitive decision-making.

***Metacognition and Reflective Learning*:** Metacognitive tactics, which include pupils reflecting on their own thinking processes, might further boost intuition. Koriat et al. (2006), suggests that metacognitioncan assist learners build more accurate intuitive skills by identifying where their instincts are true or incorrect. In teaching and learning physics, encouraging students to reflect on their problem-solving tactics and identify mistakes supports the development of intuition. Over time this reflective practice helps students integrate patterns and alter their intuitive responses to line with scientific principles.

EMPIRICAL STUDIES ON TRAINING IN INTUITION

This part focuses on empirical research in physics education, case studies, and expert-novice comparisons to establish the potential of training intuition.

Expert-Novice Studies in Physics Problem-Solving: One of the most powerful lines of evidence comes from research comparing the problem-solving approaches of professionals versus novices. In physics, expertsare known to solve difficulties utilizing intuition that is honed over years of experience. They focus on recognizing patterns and principles rather than rote procedures, a talent that novices generally lack (Larkin et al., 1980). These expert-novice studies demonstrate that intuitive problem-solving develops over time through exposure to a wide range of challenges.Larkin et al. (1980), discovered that professionals quickly categorize situations based on basic concepts like conservation laws or Newtonian physics, but beginners focus on superficial aspects such as specific objects or forces. This shows that with sufficient exposure and reinforcement, novices may gradually obtain the same intuitive insights as professionals.

The Role of Physics Simulations and Virtual Environments: Research also supports the use of technology, notably simulations in helping students gain intuition in physics. Simulations give learners with interactive environments where they can investigate complex physical concepts without the limits of real-world laboratories. These virtual environments allow students to experiment with variables, see outcomes, and absorb patterns which is a crucial part of intuitive learning. Wieman and Perkins (2005), conducted a study on the use of interactive simulations in teaching introductory physics and found that students who engaged with these simulations had a more intuitive grasp of abstract concepts like electric fields and projectile motion. Such tools allow learners to perceive phenomena and develop mental models, therefore encouraging an intuitive knowledge of the underlying concepts.

Successful Training of Intuition in Physics Education: There have been successful educational interventions aiming at boosting students' intuition in physics problem-solving. Redish and Smith (2008), designed a series of problem-based learning exercises in which students were given complicated, open-ended tasks that needed them to employ both analytical reasoning and intuitive thinking. Students in this program demonstrated significant increase in their ability to swiftly assess and solve novel physics problems, demonstrating that tailored teaching can strengthen intuitive skills. The Modeling Instruction technique by Hestenes (1987), focuses on developing students' abilities to generate and manipulate models of physical systems. This educational technique helps students construct mental representations of physical occurrences, thereby strengthening their intuitive understanding. Students who attended this kind of learning displayed stronger problem-solving ability and a more intuitive comprehension of the underlying physics principles compared to those who received traditional lecture-based teaching.

The Role of Experience and Feedback in Developing Intuition: Empirical research also reveals that experience alone, coupled with effective feedback, can play a considerable role in developing intuition. Simon and Simon (1978), discovered that the fundamental difference between expert and beginner problem solvers was the ability to recognize known patterns from earlier experiences. Novices, when given repeated practice with feedbackincreased their performance significantly over time, which implies that intuition may be cultivated through experience-based learning. Eric Mazur's (1997),peer Instruction approach is another illustration of how controlled practice with feedback builds intuition. By involving students in frequent problem-solving and peer conversations, this strategy helps students enhance their intuitive understanding of physics principles. Mazur's method, which has been thoroughly studied and implemented globally, provides factual proof that intuition may be honed through collaborative learning and continual feedback.

Pattern Recognition as the Basis of Intuition: Pattern recognition, a talent important to intuition, may be learnt, as proven by research in cognitive science and physics education.Bransford, Brown, and Cocking (2000), on how people learn reveals that professionals in any discipline, including physics, rely largely on identifying recognizable patterns. This capacity is a product of years of effort and exposure to a range of issues. Their research also implies that novices might enhance their intuitive skills by focusing on recognizing and categorizing situations based on deeper principles rather than surface-level aspects.Students who are educated to classify situations based on fundamental principles (e.g., conservation of energy or momentum) rather than superficial features (e.g., the objects involved) tend to perform better and have a more intuitive knowledge of the subject matter. This ability to generalize from past experience is the core of intuitive thinking in physics problem-solving.

CONCLUSION

The importance of intuition in physics problem-solving is both profound and nuanced. This paper has studied how intuition, typically seen as a result of expertise, can indeed be cultivated through educational practices. Intuition, powered by subconscious pattern identification and heuristic thinking, allows professionals to solve complicated issues fast and effectively, when beginners may struggle owing to their dependence on slower, analytical reasoning (Kahneman, 2011). The dual-process theory reinforces this contrast, demonstrating how System 1 thinking (intuition) complements the more methodical, rule-based System 2 thinking in physics problem-solving (Evans, 2010).Research in cognitive science supports the concept that intuition derives from experience and can be increased by exposure to varied problem kinds, feedback, and reflection (Ericsson et al., 2006). This has major consequences for physics education, because traditional techniques generally emphasize procedural learning at the price of intuitive comprehension. To encourage intuition, educators must employ ways that promote conceptual learning, active involvement, and problem-based inquiry (Hestenes, 1987). Related studies show the effectiveness of strategies such as simulations, peer training, and scaffolded problem-solving in boosting students' intuitive capabilities (Wieman & Perkins, 2005; Mazur, 1997).In light of these findings, a reconsideration in physics teaching is warranted. To build intuitive problem-solving skills, physics courses must combine evidence-based methodologies that help students to spot patterns, form mental models, and develop a deeper understanding of physical systems.Through purposeful practice and targeted interventions, intuition can be acquired not as an innate attribute of experts but as a trainable talent available to all learners (Redish & Smith, 2008). This change will bridge the gap between beginners and specialists, offering students with both the analytical and intuitive tools necessary for mastering physics problem-solving.Finally, fostering intuition in physics problem-solving constitutes a transformational approach to physics education. By integrating insights from cognitive science, educators may design more effective learning environments that teach the foundations of physics and also equip students to think and respond intuitively when faced with fresh obstacles. Through these improvements, the potential for intuitive thinking in physics can be completely realized, enabling students to approach challenging issues with both confidence and agility.

REFERENCES

[1] Barsalou, L. W. (2008). Grounded cognition. Annual Review of Psychology, 59(1), 617-645.
[2] Beilock, S. L., & Holt, L. E. (2007). Embodied preference judgments: The case of race and music. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 33(4), 901-916.
[3] Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School. National Academy Press.
[4] Chase, W. G., & Simon, H. A. (1973). Perception in chess. Cognitive Psychology, 4(1), 55–81.
[5] Chi, M. T., Feltovich, P. J., & Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. Cognitive Science, 5(2), 121–152. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0502_2
[6] Crouch, C. H., & Mazur, E. (2001). Peer instruction: Ten years of experience and results. American Journal of Physics, 69(9), 970–977. https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1374249
[7] Damasio, A. R. (1994). Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason, and the Human Brain. Putnam Publishing.
[8] Ericsson, K. A., Charness, N., Feltovich, P. J., & Hoffman, R. R. (2006). The Cambridge Handbook of Expertise and Expert Performance. Cambridge University Press.
[9] Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-Römer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. Psychological Review, 100(3), 363–406. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.100.3.363
[10] Etkina, E., & Van Heuvelen, A. (2007). Investigative science learning environment: Using the processes of science and cognitive strategies to learn physics. Physics Education Research Conference Proceedings, 883, 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2508680
[11] Evans, J. St. B. T. (2010). Intuition and reasoning: A dual-process perspective. Psychological Inquiry, 21(4), 313-326.
[12] Evans, J. St. B. T. (2010). Thinking Twice: Two Minds in One Brain. Oxford University Press.
[13] Gigerenzer, G., & Gaissmaier, W. (2011). Heuristic decision making. Annual Review of Psychology, 62(1), 451-482.
[14] Hestenes, D. (1987). Toward a modeling theory of physics instruction. American Journal of Physics, 55(5), 440–454. https://doi.org/10.1119/1.15129
[15] Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? EducationalPsychology Review, 16(3), 235-266. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3
[16] Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
[17] Klein, G. (1999). Sources of Power: How People Make Decisions. MIT Press.
[18] Koriat, A., Ma'ayan, H., &Nussinson, R. (2006). The intricate relationships between monitoring and control in metacognition: Lessons for the cause-and-effect relation between subjective experience and behavior. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 135(1), 36–69. https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.135.1.36
[19] Larkin, J. H., McDermott, J., Simon, D. P., & Simon, H. A. (1980). Expert and novice performance in solving physics problems. Science, 208(4450), 1335–1342. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.208.4450.1335
[20] Mazur, E. (1997). Peer Instruction: A User's Manual. Prentice Hall.
[21] Palmeri, T. J. (1999). Theories of automaticity and the power law of practice. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 25(2), 543-551.
[22] Redish, E. F. (2013). Teaching Physics with the Physics Suite. John Wiley & Sons.
[23] Redish, E. F., & Smith, K. A. (2008). Looking beyond content: Skill development for engineers. Journal of Engineering Education, 97(3), 295–307. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2008.tb00981.x
[24] Sadler, P. M., &Sonnert, G. (2016). Understanding Misconceptions: Teaching and Learning in Middle School Physical Science. American Educator, 40(1), 26–32.
[25] Simon, H. A., & Simon, D. P. (1978). Individual differences in solving physics problems. In R. S. Siegler (Ed.), Children's Thinking: What Develops? Erlbaum.
[26] Sweller, J., Ayres, P., &Kalyuga, S. (2011). Cognitive Load Theory. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8126-4
[27] Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124–1131.
[28] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.
[29] Wieman, C. E., & Perkins, K. K. (2005). Transforming physics education. Physics Today, 58(11), 36–41. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2155756
[30] Wilson, M. (2002). Six views of embodied cognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 9(4), 625–636.